				A	
• Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/704,565		DIDOMENICO ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Otilia Gabor		2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 November 2000</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.				
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
:	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5	I) Interview Summar Notice of Informal Other:	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and	Frademark Office			Port of Paper No. 12	

Application/Control Number: 09/704,565

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and 120 as follows:

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)).

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the drawings as filed appear to be informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 11/03/2000 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,565

Art Unit: 2878

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-7 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art searched fails to indicate a system for remote emissions detection where the combined beam of ultraviolet and infrared radiation coming from a source is not split before entering at least one beam detector. Prior art references such as Stedman et al. (5489777) uses a combination IR and UV beam to detect an emission characteristic in the plume of a vehicle however even though he does not split the beam before the beam enters a radiation module (16), the beam is split into its constituent UV and IR radiation before it enters the individual detectors.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: DiDomenico et al. (U. S. Patent 6307201).
- 7. This application is in condition for allowance except for the above presented formal matters.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/704,565

Art Unit: 2878

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 703-305-0384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 703-308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

CONSTANTINE HANNAHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 2878

og August 23, 2002



BOX: NEW APPLICATIONS

-PATENT UTILITY[X] DESIGN []

Serial No.: To Be Assigned Inventor: DiDOMENICO, et al.

Filing Date: November 3, 2000

Client/Matter: 47382.000110 (formerly 101

Client: Envirotest Systems, Inc.

Atty/Sec.: CJC/vrp

Date:

November 3, 2000

REMOTE EMISSIONS SENSING SYSTEM AND METHOD WITH A COMPOSITE Title: BEAM OF IR AND UV RADIATION THAT IS NOT SPLIT FOR DETECTION F

The following has been received in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

NOV 0 3 2000 on the date stamped hereon:

1. Transmittal of Rule 1.53(b) Continuation Application of Application Serial 20, 09/520,165

2. Copy of Specification (6 pp); Claims (2 pp;, numbered 1-7); Abstract (1 page) ADEMARY

3. Drawings (2 sheets) labeled Figures 1 and 2

4. Information Disclosure Statement and PTO 1449

5. Copies of Request For Petition For Extension of Time of Notice To File Missing Parts

6. Check No. 204330 for \$710.00

RECEIVED

NOV 0 1 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS